



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1) AC
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,690	09/19/2001	James McCambridge	2206.65752	9754

7590 11/20/2002

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.
Suite 2500
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ALIE, GHASSEM

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

3724

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H C

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/955,690	MCCAMBRIDGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ghassem Alie	3724

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 4-6 and 8 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09/19/01 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3724

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "134" has been used to designate both the guide and the holder. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 4, line 15 of the specification; "se-back" should be --set-back--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,292,364 to Cromonic. Regarding claim 1, Cromonic discloses a trimmer attachment 20, 33 of a hair clipper having a stationary blade 21, and a reciprocating blade 22. See Fig. 1, and 8-11. Cromonic also discloses a means 30² for securing the

attachment 20 to the hair clipper. Cromonic further discloses a movable trimmer blade 20 operable in response to the reciprocating blade 22 of the hair clipper.

Regarding claim 2, Cromonic discloses a drive arm 33^a, 40 at least partially surrounding the reciprocating blades 22 of the hair clipper. Cromonic also discloses a blade guide 39 operatively connected to the drive arm 33^a, 40 and also secured to movable trimmer blade 20. See Fig. 11.

Regarding claim 3, Cromonic discloses a stationary trimmer blade 21 complements the movable trimmer blade 20 to cut hair.

Regarding claim 7, Cromonic discloses the hair clipper blades 21 and 22 have a first width and the movable trimmer blade 20 has a second width narrow than the first width. See Fig. 8-10.

Note: It is noted that the make up of claim 1 as set forth on lines 3-5 define the hair clipper and the trimmer attachment in combination. The combination is even more evidence in claim 2, lines 2-3.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach a trimmer attachment including a base, a spring secured to the base over that blade guide, and a guide secured to the base as set forth in combination.

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Haraguchi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,557,050) discloses a hair cutter.

Melton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,079,103) discloses an adjustable attachment comb.

Claassens (U.S. Patent No. 4,226,341) discloses a hair trimming apparatus.

Altamore (U.S. Patent No. 5,933,964) discloses a cutter blade for hair clippers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ghassem Alie whose telephone number is (703) 305-4981.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on (703) 305-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9302 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

GA/ga
November 14, 2002

Allen
Allan N. Shoap
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700